arXiv:1406.5937v1 [math.OC] 23 Jun 2014

ALMOST AUTOMORPHY AND RICCATI EQUATION

INDIRA MISHRA
THE INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CHENNAI
CIT CAMPUS, TARAMANI, CHENNAI, 600 113. INDIA.

ABSTRACT. In this paper we first consider a linear time invariant systems with almost periodic forcing term. We propose a new deterministic quadratic control problem, motivated by Da-Prato. With the help of associated degenerate Riccati equation we study the existence and uniqueness of an almost automorphic solutions.

1. Introduction

The study of periodic systems, periodic optimization problems and the existence of almost periodic solutions to quadratic control problems have been quite interesting topic to work for many Mathematicians for e.g. [1, 4, 5, 8, 11] and [14]. In [5], Da-Prato has considered the quadratic control problem for the periodic systems in infinite dimension and showed that optimal control is given by feedback control which involves periodic solution of Riccati equation.

In [1], Da-Prato and Ichikawa considered the following system

$$y' = Ay + Bu + f \tag{1.1}$$

where $u \in L^2_{ap}(U)$, U and Y are real separable Hilbert spaces, $B \in \mathcal{L}(U, Y)$ and $f \in L^2_{ap}(Y)$. Under the assumptions that the pair (A, B) is stabilizable and (M, A) is detectable, they studied the existence of almost periodic solutions for (1.1) and minimized the cost functional:

$$J(u) = \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (|My|^2 + |u|^2)dt$$

over the set of all controls u such that (1.1) has an almost periodic mild solution.

In this paper we study the same problem as considered in [1], by weakening their hypothesis. The conditions (A, B) stabilizable (M, A) detectable is somehow more demanding, whereas similar results as in [1] can be obtained with the assumption on only one pair that the pair $(-A, B)$ is exactly controllable. We generalize their results [1] for the case of almost automorphic solutions that is we wish to minimize the cost functional considered over the set of all controls such that equation (1.1), with almost automorphic forcing term has an almost automorphic solution.

Almost automorphy is the generalization of almost periodicity. The notion of almost automorphic functions were introduced by S. Bochner [2] in relation to some aspects of differential geometry.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34 K06, 34 A12, 37 L05.

Key words and phrases. Almost automorphic function, Riccati equation, Exact controllability, Evolution equation; hyperbolic semigroups, interpolation spaces.

Let Y and U be Hilbert spaces. We consider the following differential equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y} &= Ay + Bu + f \\ y(0) &= y_0 \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where A is the infinitesimal generator of semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ on Y. Let $B \in \mathcal{L}(U,Y)$, $u \in L^2_{aa}(Y)$ and $f \in L^2_{aa}(Y)$ where $L^2_{aa}(Y)$ is the completion of $AA(Y)$ with respect to the inner product

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \langle f(t), g(t)\rangle dt$$

defined on $AA(Y)$, which is discussed in detail in Section 3.

We can not always expect $J(u)$ to be finite. We wish to minimize the average cost functional defined by

$$J(u) = \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \Big(|My|^2 + |u|^2\Big)dt \tag{1.3}$$

over $\mathcal{U}_{ad}$.

$$\mathcal{U}_{ad} = \{u \in L^2_{ap}(Y) : \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \Big(|My|^2 + |u^2|\Big)dt < \infty\}$$

where $M \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$. We write (1.3) as

$$J(u) = |My|^2_{aa} + |u|^2_{aa}.$$

The optimization problem (1.2) with cost functional (1.3) is more general than the following optimal control problem.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y} &= Ay + Bu \\ y(0) &= y_0 \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

Define

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\infty |My|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\infty |u|^2 \tag{1.5}$$

The linear regulator problem is to minimize $J(u)$ where (y,u) satisfy (1.4).

Finite Cost Condition: For every $y_0 \in Y$, $u \in L^2(0,\infty;U)$ such that $J(u)$ defined in (1.5) is finite. and y satisfy (1.4). Then we know by [15] that there exists a bounded linear operator $P = P^* \geq 0$ which satisfies the following operator algebraic Riccati equation:

$$A^*P + PA - PBB^*P + M^*M = 0$$

In this paper we are interested in making use of degenerate algebraic Riccati equation. That is there exists $P = P^* \geq 0$ in $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ such that

$$A^*P + PA - PBB^*P = 0$$

2. Preliminaries

In this Section we recall some basic definitions, Lemmas and results going to be used in the sequel. Let $(Y, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Hilbert space.

2.1. **Almost Periodic Functions.**

Definition 2.1. *A subset S of $\mathbb{R}$ is called relatively dense if there exists a positive number $l > 0$, such that $[a, a + l] \cap S \neq \phi$, for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Definition 2.2. *see* [13]*, We say that a function f is Bohr almost periodic, if for every $\epsilon > 0$, the set $T_{f,\epsilon}$ given by*

$$T_{f,\epsilon} = \{\tau : \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} |f(t + \tau) - f(t)| < \epsilon\},$$

is relatively dense for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

We denote by $AP(X)$, the set of all almost periodic functions defined on X. The space $(AP(X), \|\cdot\|_{AP(X)})$ is a Banach space with the supremum norm given by,

$$\|u\|_{AP(X)} = \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \|u\|_X.$$

2.2. **Almost automorphic functions.**

Definition 2.3. *A continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \to Y$ is called almost automorphic if for every sequence $(s'_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of real numbers, there exists a subsequence $(s_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that*

$$\lim_{n,m\to\infty} \|f(t + s_n - s_m) - f(t)\| = 0.$$

We denote by $AA(Y)$ the set of all almost automorphic functions, defined on Y.

Remark 2.4. *$AA(Y)$ with respect to the* sup*-norm is a Banach space.*

Example 2.5. *Concrete examples of almost automorphic functions are:*

$$a(t) = \cos\left(\frac{1}{\cos t + \cos(\sqrt{2}t)}\right) \text{ and } b(t) = \sin\left(\frac{1}{\sin t + \sin(\sqrt{5}t)}\right)$$

$t \in \mathbb{R}$.

2.3. **Hyperbolic Semigroups.** Let $(Y, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Hilbert space. If A be a linear operator on Y, then $\rho(A)$, $\sigma(A)$, $D(A)$, $R(A)$, $\ker(A)$ denote the resolvent, spectrum, domain, range and kernel of the operator A. Let Y_1, Y_2 be two Hilbert spaces, then $\mathcal{L}(Y_1, Y_2)$ is the Banach space of bounded linear operators from H_1 into H_2, when $Y_1 = Y_2$ this is simply denoted by $\mathcal{L}(Y)$.

Definition 2.6. *See* [7]*. A linear operator $A : D(A) \subset Y \to Y$ (not necessarily densely defined) is said to be sectorial if the following hold: there exist constants $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\theta \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$ and $M > 0$ such that $S_{\theta,\zeta} \subset \rho(A)$,*

$$S_{\theta,\zeta} := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \neq \zeta,\ \arg(\lambda - \zeta) < \theta\},$$
$$\|R(\lambda, A)\| \leq \frac{M}{|\lambda - \zeta|}, \quad \lambda \in S_{\theta,\zeta}$$

where $R(\lambda, A) = (\lambda I - A)^{-1}$ for each $\lambda \in \rho(A)$.

Remark 2.7. *See* [7]*. If the operator A is sectorial, then it generates an analytic semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$, which maps $(0, \infty)$ into $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ and such that there exists constants $M_0, M_1 > 0$ such that*

$$\|T(t)\| \leq M_0 e^{\zeta t}, \quad t > 0 \tag{2.1}$$
$$\|t(A - \zeta I)T(t)\| \leq M_1 e^{\zeta t}, \quad t > 0 \tag{2.2}$$

Definition 2.8. *See* [7]. *A semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is said to be hyperbolic if there exist projection Π_s and constants $M,\ \delta > 0$ such that $T(t)$ commutes with Π_s, $\ker \Pi_s$ is invariant with respect to $T(t)$, $T(t) : R(\Pi_u) \to R(\Pi_u)$ is invertible and*

$$\|T(t)\Pi_s x\| \leq Me^{-\delta t}\|x\|,\ t \geq 0 \tag{2.3}$$

$$\|T(t)\Pi_u x\| \leq Me^{\delta t}\|x\|,\ t \leq 0 \tag{2.4}$$

where $\Pi_u := I - \Pi_s$ and for $t \leq 0$, $T(t) := (T(-t))^{-1}$.

Remark 2.9. *The existence of a hyperbolic semigroup on a Banach space X gives us a nice algebraic information about this vectorial space. In fact let $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ be a hyperbolic semigroup on X. Then there are $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ invariant closed subspaces X_s and X_u such that $X = X_s \oplus X_u$. Furthermore the restricted semigroups $(T_s(t))_{t\geq 0}$ on X_s and $(T_u(t))_{t\geq 0}$ on X_u have the following properties:*

(i) *The semigroup $(T_s(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is uniformly exponentially stable on X_s.*
(ii) *The operators $T_u(t)$ are invertible on X_u and $(T_u(t)^{-1})_{t\geq 0}$ is uniformly exponentially stable on X_u.*

We recall that analytic semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ associated with the linear operator A is hyperbolic if and only if $\sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R} = \phi$.

Definition 2.10. *See* [7]. *For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ Banach space $(Y_\alpha, \|\cdot\|_\alpha)$ is said to an intermediate space between $D(A)$ and Y if $D(A) \subset Y_\alpha \subset Y$ and there is a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$[x]_\alpha = \sup_{0\leq t\leq 1} \|t^{1-\alpha}(A - \zeta I)e^{-\zeta t}T(t)x\| < \infty,$$

with the norm

$$\|x\|_\alpha = \|x\| + [x]_\alpha \tag{2.5}$$

and the abstract Holder spaces $D_A(\alpha) := \overline{D(A)}^{\|\cdot\|_\alpha}$.

Lemma 2.11. *See* [7]. *For a hyperbolic analytic semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ there exists constants $C(\alpha) > 0, \delta > 0,\ M(\alpha) > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that*

$$\|T(t)\Pi_u x\|_\alpha \leq c(\alpha)e^{\delta t}\|x\| \quad \text{for} \quad t \leq 0 \tag{2.6}$$

$$\|T(t)\Pi_s x\|_\alpha \leq M(\alpha)t^{-\alpha}e^{-\gamma t}\|x\| \quad \text{for} \quad t > 0. \tag{2.7}$$

3. Quadratic Control Problem

In this section we consider linear systems described by a strongly continuous semigroup and solve quadratic control problems.

Let Y be a real separable Hilbert space with inner product $\langle , \rangle$ and norm $|\cdot|$. Let $f(t)$ be a continuous function in Y and f is said to be almost automorphic if for every sequence $(s'_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of real numbers there exists a subsequence $(s_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $f(t) = \lim_{n\to\infty} f(t + s_n - s_m)$. Almost automorphic functions are the generalization of almost periodic functions. An almost periodic function is almost automorphic but the converse is not true. For example:

$$f(t) := \sin\Big(\frac{1}{\sin t + \sin\sqrt{2}t}\Big)$$

is almost automorphic but not almost periodic. We make a note that almost automorphic functions are bounded. It is easy to see that set of all almost automorphic

functions (scalar functions) $AA(\mathbb{R})$ forms an algebra. The set of all almost automorphic functions defined on Y will be denoted by $AA(Y)$. Let $f, g \in AA(Y)$. Then the mean value $\lim_{T\to\infty} \int_0^T |f(t)|^2 dt$ exists. Thus the limit

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \langle f(t), g(t)\rangle dt$$

defines an inner product on $AA(Y)$ which we denote by $\langle f, g\rangle_{aa}$. The corresponding norm $|\cdot|_{aa}$. Let $L^2_{aa}(Y)$ be the completion of $AA(Y)$ with respect to this inner product.

Now we consider the differential equation:

$$y' = Ay + f \tag{3.1}$$

where A is the infinitesimal generator of strongly continuous semigroup e^{tA} on Y and $f \in AA(Y)$. If $Y = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ then e^{tA} is the usual matrix exponential function. If A is stable that is e^{tA} is exponentially stable, then

$$y(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{(t-s)A} f(s) ds \tag{3.2}$$

is well defined and is almost automorphic. In fact let (a_n) be an arbitrary sequence then

$$\begin{aligned} y(t + a_n) &= \int_{-\infty}^{t+a_n} e^{(t+a_n-s)A} f(s) ds \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{(t-\tau)A} f(t + a_n) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

In general $y(t)$ given by (3.2) does not satisfy (3.1) but we can find a subsequence $f_n \in AA(Y)$ such that $f_n \to f$ uniformly in $AA(Y)$ and $y_n(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{(t-s)A} f_n(s) ds$ is the solution of (3.1) with $f = f_n$, uniformly converging to y in $AA(Y)$. A continuous function y on $\mathbb{R}$ is called mild solution of (3.1) if

$$y(t) = e^{(t-s)A} y(s) + \int_s^t e^{(t-r)A} f(r) dr$$

for any $t \geq s$. Then $y(t)$ given by (3.2) is unique mild solution of (3.1) in $AA(Y)$. In fact if z is another solution, then

$$z(t) - y(t) = e^{(t-s)A}[z(s) - y(s)]$$

Letting $s \mapsto -\infty$ and using the fact that z and y are bounded, we obtain that $z(t) - y(t) = 0$ for any t. Letting $s \to -\infty$ and noting that z, y are bounded, we obtain $z(t) - y(t) = 0$ for any t.

Moreover if e^{tA} satisfies exponential dichotomy that is there exists a projection operator π such that

(i) $Y_1 := \Pi Y \subset D(A)$ and $A_1 := A\Pi$ is a bounded operator on Y_1 with

$$|e^{-tA_1}| \leq M_1 e^{-a_1 t},\ t \geq 0 \ \text{ for some } \ M_1 > 0,\ a_1 > 0.$$

(ii) $A : D(A) \cap Y_2 \to Y_2$, where $Y_2 := (I - \Pi)Y$ and $A_2 := A(I - \Pi)$ generates an exponentially stable semigroup on Y_2. Then

$$y(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{(t-s)A_2}(I - \Pi) f(s) ds - \int_t^{\infty} e^{(t-s)A_1} \Pi f(s) ds$$

is a unique mild solution of (3.1) in $AA(Y)$.

4. Almost automorphic solutions

We consider the following system:

$$y' = Ay + Bu + f \tag{4.1}$$

It is not clear that there exists any admissible control u for problem (1.2) which minimizes (1.5) over $\mathcal{U}_{ad}$. Due to presence of f, it is reasonable to assume the existence of some $F \in \mathcal{L}(Y, U)$ such that $A - BF$ is stable and the feedback law is given by

$$u = -Fy + v, \quad v \in L^2_{aa}(U)$$

is admissible and in fact

$$y(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{(t-s)(A-BF)} \Big(Bv(s) + f(s) \Big) ds$$

is the unique mild solution of (1.2) in $AA(Y)$.

If we assume that $-A$ is exponentially stable and that the pair $(-A, B)$ is exactly controllable, then it follows by [15, Theorem 2.4, pp. 212] that there exists a constant $\beta > 0$ such that for arbitrary $y \in Y$, we have

$$\int_0^\infty \|B^* e^{-tA^*} y\|_U^2 dt \geq \beta \|y\|_Y^2.$$

We have the following result due to Kesavan [3].

Theorem 4.1. *Let U and Z be the Hilbert spaces. Let $A : D(A) \subset Z \to Z$ be the infinitesimal generator of a C_0-semigroup. Let $B \in \mathcal{L}(U, Z)$. Then the following are equivalent.*
(i) $-A$ is the exponentially stable and there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for all $z \in Z$,

$$\int_0^\infty \|B^* e^{-tA^*} z\|_U^2 dt \geq \alpha \|z\|_Z^2.$$

(ii) There exists $P \in \mathcal{L}(Z)$ which is a solution of the degenerate Riccati equation (4.2)

$$A^*P + PA - PBB^*P = 0 \tag{4.2}$$

which has the following properties.

(a) $P = P^* \geq 0$;
(b) P *is invertible;*
(c) $A - BB^*P$ *is exponentially stable.*

Remark 4.2. *In view of above Theorem $A - BB^*P$ is exponentially stable, thus it follows from* [1, Proposition 2.1] *that $r(t)$ given by*

$$r(t) = \int_t^\infty e^{(s-t)(A^* - PBB^*)} Pf(s) ds \tag{4.3}$$

is almost periodic function lying in $AP(Y)$ and is the unique mild solution of

$$r'(t) + (A^* - PBB^*)Pf(s)ds. \tag{4.4}$$

Remark 4.3. *As P is a bounded linear operator; let $\|P\| = p$.*

Before stating our main results of this paper, let us make the following assumptions.

Assumptions:

H1. Assume that $-A$ is exponentially stable.

H2. The pair $(-A, B)$ is exactly controllable.

Theorem 4.4. *Assume that (H1) and (H2) holds. Then there exists a unique optimal control for (1.2) and (1.3) and it is given by the feedback law*

$$\bar{u} = -B^*(Py + r) \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$J(\bar{u}) = 2\langle r, f\rangle_{aa} - |B^*r|^2_{aa} \tag{4.6}$$

where P and r are unique solutions of (4.2) and (4.4) respectively.

Proof. A proof for this Theorem is similar to that of [1, Theorem 2.1] But for reader's convenience we give it here once again. It follows by Theorem 4.1 that $A - BB^*P$ is exponentially stable, so $r(\cdot)$ given by equation (4.3) is the unique mild solution of (4.4), hence $\bar{u}(\cdot)$ is admissible. Let u be an arbitrary admissible control and y its response. We differentiate $\langle Py(t), y(t)\rangle + 2\langle r(t), y(t)\rangle$ formally and remove the terms involving A using (4.2). Then we integrate from 0 to T, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle Py(T), y(T)\rangle + 2\langle r(T), y(T)\rangle - \langle Py(0), y(0)\rangle - 2\langle r(0), y(0)\rangle \\ = \; &-\int_0^T |u|^2 dt + \int_0^T |(u + B^*(Qy + r))|^2 dt + \int_0^T 2\langle r(t), y(t)\rangle - |B^*r|^2 dt \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by T and letting T tending to infinity. We obtain

$$J(u) = |(u + B^*(Qy + r))|^2_{aa} + 2\langle r, f\rangle_{aa} - |B^*r|^2_{aa} \tag{4.7}$$

where we have used the boundedness of $y(T)$ and $r(T)$. This formal position can be justified by introducing approximating systems of (3.1) and (4.4) with strict solutions [12] and then passing to the limit. See [3], [9] for arguments based on Yosida approximations of A. Now the optimality of $\bar{u}$ and (4.6) follows easily from (4.7). Since $A - BB^*P$ is stable, the uniqueness of $\bar{u}$ follows. □

Let us denote by $L := A - BB^*P$, if the semigroup $(T_L(t))_{t\geq 0}$ generated by L is hyperbolic; that is $\sigma(L) \cap i\mathbb{R} = \phi$

Lemma 4.5. *Given that the semigroup $(T_L(t))_{t\geq 0}$ generated by L is hyperbolic that is $\sigma(L) \cap i\mathbb{R} = \phi$, then there exist a unique almost automorphic solution given by:*

$$r(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{(t-s)L^*}\Pi_s P f(s) ds - \int_t^{\infty} e^{(t-s)L^*}\Pi_u P f(s) ds \tag{4.8}$$

of (4.4) in $AA(Y)$, whenever $f \in L^2_{aa}(Y)$.

Proof. We consider

$$\begin{aligned} r(t + s_n - s_m) - r(t) = &\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{(t-s)L^*}\Pi_s P\Big(f(s + s_n - s_m) - f(s)\Big) ds \\ &- \int_t^{\infty} e^{(t-s)L^*}\Pi_u P\Big(f(s + s_n - s_m) - f(s)\Big) ds \end{aligned}$$

Letting $s \mapsto (t-s)$ in the right hand side; we get

$$\begin{aligned} r(t+s_n-s_m)-r(t) &= \int_0^\infty e^{sL^*}\Pi_s P\Big(f(t-s+s_n-s_m)-f(t-s)\Big)ds \\ &\quad -\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{sL^*}\Pi_u P\Big(f(t-s+s_n-s_m)-f(t-s)\Big)ds \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \|r(t+s_n-s_m)-r(t)\|_\infty \\ \leq\ & \|r(t+s_n-s_m)-r(t)\|_{aa} \\ \leq\ & \Big(\int_0^\infty Npe^{-\delta s}ds\Big)\Big(\lim_{T\mapsto\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \|f(t-s+s_n-s_m)-f(t-s)\|_Y^2 ds\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & +\Big(\int_{-\infty}^0 Npe^{\delta s}ds\Big)\Big(\lim_{T\mapsto\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \|f(t+s+s_n-s_m)-f(t+s)\|_Y^2 ds\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \leq\ & \frac{Np}{\delta}(\|f(t-s+s_n-s_m)-f(t-s)\|_{aa}+\|f(t+s+s_n-s_m)-f(t+s)\|_{aa}) \\ \leq\ & \frac{2Np}{\delta}\varepsilon := \varepsilon' \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $r \in AA(Y)$ is an almost automorphic function. □

Rewriting equation (1.2) as:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y} &= Ay - BB^*Py - BB^*r + f \\ &= (A-BB^*P)y - BB^*r + f \\ &= Ly + \Big(f(t) - BB^*r(t)\Big) \end{aligned}$$

Equation (1.2) can be written as:

$$\dot{y}(t) = Ly + \Big(f(t) - BB^*r(t)\Big)$$

Definition 4.6. *A bounded continuous function $y : \mathbb{R} \to Y$ is called mild solution to equation (1.2) if*

$$y(t) = T_L(t-s)y(s) + \int_s^t T_L(t-s)\Big(f(s) - BB^*r(s)\Big)ds$$

$t \geq s,\ s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 4.7. *Suppose that $-A$ is exponentially stable and the pair $(-A, B)$ is exactly controllable and the semigroup $(T_L(t))_{t\geq 0}$ generated by $A - BB^*P$ is hyperbolic semigroup. Then for $f \in L^2_{aa}(Y)$, there exists a unique mild solution to (1.2) given by*

$$y(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t T_L(t-s)\Pi_s\Big(f(s) - BB^*r(s)\Big)ds - \int_t^\infty T_L(t-s)\Pi_u\Big(f(s) - BB^*r(s)\Big)ds$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where Π_s and Π_u are the projections associated to the operator L. Furthermore, this mild solution belongs to $AA(Y_\alpha)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} y(t+s_n-s_m)-y(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{t+s_n-s_m} T_L(t+s_n-s_m-s)\Pi_s(f(s)-BB^*r(s))ds \\ &\quad -\int_{-\infty}^{t} T_L(t-s)\Pi_s(f(s)-BB^*r(s))ds \\ &\quad -\int_{t+s_n-s_m}^{\infty} T_L(t+s_n-s_m-s)\Pi_u(f(s)-BB^*r(s))ds \\ &\quad +\int_{t}^{\infty} T_L(t-s)\Pi_u(f(s)-BB^*r(s))ds \end{aligned}$$

Using transformations $s \mapsto -s+t+s_n-s_m$ in 1^{st} and 3^{rd} terms and $s \mapsto -s+t$ in 2^{nd} and 4^{th} terms in right hand side;

$$\begin{aligned} y(t+s_n-s_m)-y(t) &= \int_0^{\infty} T_L(s)\Pi_s\Big(\Big(f(-s+t+s_n-s_m)-f(-s+t)\Big) \\ &\quad -BB^*\Big(r(-s+t+s_n-s_m)-r(-s+t)\Big)\Big)ds \\ &\quad -\int_{-\infty}^{0} T_L(s)\Pi_u\Big(\Big(f(-s+t+s_n-s_m)-f(-s+t)\Big) \\ &\quad -BB^*\Big(r(-s+t+s_n-s_m)-r(-s+t)\Big)\Big)ds \end{aligned}$$

We have that $\|B\| = \|B^*\| := K$ (lets say), for some constant $K > 0$, follows from [10, Proposition 7.2.1]. Hereafter we use the following conventions

$$\|x\|_{\infty,\alpha} := \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \|x\|_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\|_{\alpha,(aa)} = \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \|x\|_\alpha^2.$$

Now we consider the α-norm defined in (2.5) of $\Big(y(t+s_n-s_m)-y(t)\Big)$ and using the inequalities in Lemma 2.11, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|y(t+s_n-s_m)-y(t)\|_{\infty,\alpha} \\ \leq\ & \|y(t+s_n-s_m)-y(t)\|_{\alpha,(aa)} \\ \leq\ & M(\alpha)\Bigg[\Big(\int_0^\infty s^{-\alpha}e^{-\gamma s}ds\Big)\Big(\lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \|f(t-s+s_n-s_m)-f(t-s)\|_Y^2 ds\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & +\|B\|^2\|r(t-s+s_n-s_m)-r(t-s)\|_{AA(Y)}\Bigg] \\ & +c(\alpha)\Bigg[\Big(\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\delta s}ds\Big)\Big(\lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \|f(t-s+s_n-s_m)-f(t-s)\|_Y^2 ds\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & +\|B\|^2\|r(t-s+s_n-s_m)-r(t-s)\|_{AA(Y)}\Bigg] \end{aligned}$$

With the help of Lemma 4.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|y(t+s_n-s_m)-y(t)\|_\alpha \\ \leq\ & M(\alpha)(\gamma^{\alpha-1}\Gamma(1-\alpha)\|f(t-s+s_n-s_m)-f(t-s)\|_{aa}+K^2\varepsilon') \\ & +\frac{c(\alpha)}{\delta}(\|f(t-s+s_n-s_m)-f(t-s)\|_{aa}+K^2\varepsilon'). \\ \leq\ & M(\alpha)(\gamma^{\alpha-1}\Gamma(1-\alpha)\varepsilon+K^2\varepsilon')+\frac{c(\alpha)}{\delta}(\varepsilon+K^2\varepsilon'). \end{aligned}$$

Which shows that y lies in the space $AA(Y_\alpha)$; and hence we have the desired result. □

Here we consider a simple example which is similar as considered in [1].

Example 4.8. *A deterministic example.*

Let us take $Y=U=\mathbb{R}$ *and* $A=3,\ B=4,\ M=1$ *and* $f(t)=\sin t$. *Then equation (4.1) is:*

$$y'=3y+4u+\sin t.$$

The solution of (4.2) which is nonnegative is $P=\frac{1}{2}$. *Then* $r(t)=\frac{1}{52}[\cos t+5\sin t]$. *It is easy to obtain*

$$2\langle r,f\rangle_{aa}=\frac{5}{52},\quad |B^*r|^2_{aa}=\frac{4}{52}.$$

Thus the optimal control is given by

$$\bar{u}=-2y-\frac{1}{13}(\cos t+5\sin t)$$

and

$$J(\bar{u})=\frac{1}{52}.$$

Here f *is periodic, but we may add* $\sin\sqrt{2}t$ *then it becomes an almost periodic function and we may also calculate* $\bar{u}$ *and* $J(\bar{u})$ *similarly by choosing* f *an almost automorphic function given by:*

$$f(t)=\sin\frac{1}{2+\cos t+\cos\sqrt{2}t}.$$

References

[1] G. Da Prato and A. Ichikawa, Optimal control of linear systems with almost periodic inputs, Siam J. Control and Optimization, **25** (1987) 1007-1019.

[2] S. Bochner, Continuous mapping of almost automorphic and almost automorphic functions, **Proc. nat. Sci.**, 52, 907-910, 1964.

[3] S. Kesavan and J. P. Raymond, On a degenerate Riccati equation, Control and Cybernetics, **38** (2009), pp. 1393-1410.

[4] S. Bittanti, A. Locatelli and C. Maffezzoni, Periodic optimization under small perturbations in periodic optimization, Vol. II, A. Marzollo, Springer -Verlag, New York,1972, pp. 183-231.

[5] G. Da Prato, Synthesis of optimal control for an infinite dimensional periodic problem, Siam J. Control and Optimization, **25** 1987, 706-714.

[6] G. M. N'Guerekata, Almost automorphic functions and Almost Periodic Functions in Abstract Spaces, Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, London, Moscow, 2001.

[7] P. H. Beandry and T. Diagana, Existence of quadratic-mean almost periodic solutions to some stochastic hyperbolic differential equations, Vol. 2009, No. 111, pp. 1-14.

[8] J. L. Speyer and R. T. Evans, A second variational theory for optimal periodic processes, IEEE Trans. Automat. Control, AC-29 (1984), pp. 138-147.
[9] A. Lunardi, Analytic Semigroups and Optimal Regularity in Parabolic Problems, Birkhauser, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 1995.
[10] S. Kesavan, Texts and Reading in Mathematics 52, Functional Analysis, Hindustan Book Agency (India) 2008.
[11] Y. V. Venkatesh, Energy methods in time varying system stability and instability analysis, Lecture Notes in Physics, 68, Springer -Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1977.
[12] V. Barbu and G. Da Prato, Hamilton-Jacobi Equations in Hilbert Spaces, Pitman, London, 1983.
[13] A. M. Fink, "Almost periodic differential equations," in: Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 377, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
[14] G. Da Prato, A. Ichikawa, On a matrix Riccati equation of stochastic control, Siam J. Control and Optimization, **6** (1968) 681-697.
[15] J. Zabczyk, Mathematical Contro; Theory – An Introduction. Modern Birkhä user Classics, 1992.
[16] H. Triebel, Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.

Indira Mishra
The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai CIT Campus, Taramani, Chennai, 600 113. India.
E-mail address: indira.mishra1@gmail.com, indiram@imsc.res.in